



Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



Transfer of Good Practices  
& Reinforcement of  
Internationalisation  
Strategies in Kurdistan

Erasmus+ - Key Action 2  
Capacity Building within the Field of Higher Education

## TIGRIS Project

*Evaluation report*

*TIGRIS Internships for selected International Relations Managers and Officers RUG  
2020*

*Draft Version 1.0*

|                |              |                        |                     |
|----------------|--------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Date</b>    | May 21, 2020 | <b>Drafted By</b>      | GII                 |
| <b>Version</b> | 1.0          | <b>In execution of</b> | Working Package 4.1 |

### Context

As part of the WP 3.4, RUG provided an internship for the Kurdish partners in the framework of "TIGRIS Internships for selected International Relations Managers and Officers". The internship took place 09.-13.03.2020.

## Results

Table 1 Please select your home institution:

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Charmo University  | 0 |
| Duhok Polytechnic University   | 0 |
| Erbil Polytechnic University   | 0 |
| Halabja University   | 1 |
| Kurdistan Institution of Strategic Studies and Scientific Research (KISSR) | 0 |
| Salahaddin University – Erbil  | 0 |
| Sulaimani Polytechnic University   | 0 |
| University of Raparin  | 0 |
| University of Sulaimani  | 1 |
| MHESR  | 0 |
| Total  | 2 |

In total, 2 individuals answered the survey.

Table 2 How satisfied were you with the organisation of the internship by the host? (responsible RUG)

|   | Very dissatisfied | somewhat dissatisfied | somewhat satisfied | very satisfied | Average | No. of answers |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| General organisation and concept of the internship  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Information provided to prepare participation in the internship (preliminary information via email, agenda, etc.) | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Overall timing & scheduling of the internship   | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| Overall   | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 5              | 3.8     | 6              |

Overall, the respondents were very satisfied with the internship's general organisation by RUG (overall average 3.8).

For this part, the internship achieved the goal of a rating of at least 3.

Table 3 How satisfied were you with the organisation of the internship by the host? (responsible RUG)

|   | Very dissatisfied | somewhat dissatisfied | somewhat satisfied | very satisfied | Average | No. of answers |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Organisation of the internship as such  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Venue(s) of the internship (e.g. accessibility, appropriateness, technical infrastructure)                | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| Information provided by the host in regard to the internship (e.g. localities/venues, accommodation etc.) | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| Support provided on-site by the host during the internship  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Overall   | 0                 | 0                     | 2                  | 6              | 3.8     | 8              |

Overall, the respondents were very satisfied with the internship general organisation by RUG (overall average 3.8). For this part, the internship achieved the goal of a rating of at least 3.

Table 4 How satisfied were you with the specific content of the internship? (responsible RUG)

|   | Very dissatisfied | somewhat dissatisfied | somewhat satisfied | very satisfied | Average | No. of answers |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| Welcome to the University of Groningen by Maaïke Wagenaar   | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Welcome to the Department of International Strategy and Relations and Internationalization in Groningen by Jodien Houwers | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| The University of Groningen: an introduction by Maaïke Wagenaar   | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| ISR –Erasmus+ Action 2 &3 projects and cooperation with North America by Anja de Vries                                    | 0                 | 0                     | 2                  | 0              | 3.0     | 2              |
| Making the most of the week: preparation, questions that need answering, reading materials by Maaïke Wagenaar             | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Cooperation RUG-Iraq: Archaeology by Prof. Dr. René Cappers   | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Meet at Academy Building and walk together to Faculty of Behavioural and Social Sciences                                  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| The Faculty International and Mobility Offices (2) by Alette Arendshorst & Dinie Bouwman                                  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Tour of Zernike Campus  | 0                 | 0                     | 2                  | 0              | 3.0     | 2              |
| The Faculty International and Mobility Offices (2) by Liza ten Velde & Henriette Mulder                                   | 0                 | 1                     | 1                  | 0              | 2.5     | 2              |
| International Marketing by Charlotte M'Doe  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| International Strategy and Relations by Tim Zwaagstra   | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Visit to Hanze University of Applied Sciences by Henk Willemsen   | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| International Credit Mobility by Regine van Groningen   | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| International Staff by Ynske Joustra  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 4.0     | 2              |
| Applying for international (research) funding by Geert-Jan Arends   | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 3.7     | 2              |
| Tour of the University of Groningen & the city  | 0                 | 0                     | 0                  | 2              | 3.6     | 2              |
| Mobility & Scholarship Desk by Hendrik Jan Veltman  | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.6     | 2              |
| International cooperation with partner organizations: The Confucius Institute by Xuefei Knoester-Cao                      | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.5     | 2              |
| International Welcome Center North by Karen Prowse  | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.6     | 2              |
| Summary & Evaluation  | 0                 | 0                     | 1                  | 1              | 3.7     | 2              |
| Overall   | 0                 | 1                     | 12                 | 29             | 3.7     | 42             |

The individual parts of the internship were rated high with an average of 3.7. Various activities got a 4.0 rating but one also scored under 3.0. The internship content thus ***achieved the benchmark of at least a rating of 3 in all parts.***

A general comment was that “I got benefit from the Tigris Internship at Groningen University, but I wanted to see the real template of a successful funding proposal. Also, I wanted to see more practical works at International Offices besides face to face meetings.”

### Comparison of the different aspects

The overall result is very good. Thus, the internship achieved an overall average of 3.8 and easily passed the benchmark of 3.0.



*The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*