



Georg-August-Universität Göttingen  
Stiftung Öffentlichen Rechts



Global Impact Institute

Co-funded by the  
Erasmus+ Programme  
of the European Union



Transfer of Good Practices  
& Reinforcement of  
Internationalisation  
Strategies in Kurdistan

Erasmus+ - Key Action 2  
Capacity Building within the Field of Higher Education

## TIGRIS Project

*Evaluation report*

*TIGRIS Internships for selected International Relations Managers and Officers KUL  
2020*

*Draft Version 1.0*

<b>Date</b>	May 19, 2020	<b>Drafted By</b>	GII
<b>Version</b>	1.0	<b>In execution of</b>	Working Package 4.1

### Context

As part of the WP 3.4, KUL provided an internship for the Kurdish partners in the framework of “TIGRIS Internships for selected International Relations Managers and Officers”. The internship took place 09.-13.03.2020.

## Results

Table 1 Please select your home institution:

Charmo University	0
Duhok Polytechnic University	1
Erbil Polytechnic University	0
Halabja University	0
Kurdistan Institution of Strategic Studies and Scientific Research (KISSR)	1
Salahaddin University – Erbil	1
Sulaimani Polytechnic University	0
University of Raparin	1
University of Sulaimani	0
MHESR	0
Total	4

In total, 4 individuals answered the survey.

Table 2 How satisfied were you with the organisation of the internship by the host? (responsible KUL)

	Very dissatisfied	somewhat dissatisfied	somewhat satisfied	very satisfied	Average	No. of answers
General organisation and concept of the internship	0	0	0	2	4.0	2
Information provided to prepare participation in the internship (preliminary information via email, agenda, etc.)	0	0	0	2	4.0	2
Overall timing & scheduling of the internship	0	1	0	2	4.0	2
Overall	0	1	0	6	4.0	6

Overall, the respondents were very satisfied with the internship's general organisation by KUL (overall average 4.0).

For this part, the internship achieved the goal of a rating of at least 3.

Table 3 How satisfied were you with the organisation of the internship by the host? (responsible KUL)

	Very dissatisfied	somewhat dissatisfied	somewhat satisfied	very satisfied	Average	No. of answers
Organisation of the internship as such	0	0	1	3	3.8	4
Venue(s) of the internship (e.g. accessibility, appropriateness, technical infrastructure)	0	0	0	4	4.0	4
Information provided by the host in regard to the internship (e.g. localities/venues, accommodation etc.)	0	0	2	2	3.5	4
Support provided on-site by the host during the internship	0	0	0	4	4.0	4
Overall	0	0	3	13	3.8	16

Overall, the respondents were very satisfied with the internship general organisation by KUL (overall average 3.8). For this part, the internship achieved the goal of a rating of at least 3.

Table 4 How satisfied were you with the specific content of the internship? (responsible KUL)

	Very dissatisfied	somewhat dissatisfied	somewhat satisfied	very satisfied	Average	No. of answers
General intro KU Leuven	0	0	0	2	3.7	3
International office: organisation	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
Development Cooperation	0	0	0	0	3.0	3
Commission Risk Destinations	0	0	0	1	3.3	3
UNA Europa (one of our networks)	0	0	0	2	3.7	3
Admissions office	0	0	0	2	3.7	3
Social Dinner offered by KU Leuven	0	1	1	2	3.3	3
Introduction to international marketing	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
Meeting with Pangaea – International Student Service	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
Meeting in AGORA – Learning Space	0	0	0	2	3.7	3
Housing Services and International Students: practice, problems and solutions	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
At the basics of several international cooperations, Meeting with Karine Op de Beeck	0	0	0	2	3.7	3
Cultural visit offered by KU Leuven to the University Library, including the carillon	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
Networks: CELSA, VIU, Europaeum by Jef Schrooten	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
Meeting with prof. dr. Ortwin De Graef, Head of the Doctoral School	0	0	0	3	4.0	3
Meeting with prof. dr. Kurt Willems: Internationalisation and Languages	0	0	0	1	3.3	3
Meeting with Matt Tips – International Liaison for Bio-Engineers	0	0	0	0	3.0	3
Meeting with Laura Pauwels – International Liaison for Engineers	0	0	0	0	3.0	3
A casus on the development of a joint doctorate - Meeting with Michèle van Buggenum	0	0	0	1	3.3	3
Research Support Humanities and Social Sciences	0	0	0	2	3.7	3
Overall	0	1	1	38	3.6	60

The individual parts of the internship were rated high with an average of 3.6. Various activities got a 4.0 rating and none under 3.0 which is a good result. The internship content thus easily **achieved the benchmark of at least a rating of 3 in all parts.**

## Comparison of the different aspects

The overall result is very good. Thus, the internship achieved an overall average of 3.8 and easily passed the benchmark of 3.0.



*The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.*