

Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Transfer of Good Practices
& Reinforcement of
Internationalisation
Strategies in Kurdistan

Erasmus+ - Key Action 2
Capacity Building within the Field of Higher Education

TIGRIS Project

Evaluation report Charmo Workshop 2019

Draft Version 1.0

Date	April 1, 2019	Drafted By	GII
Version	1.0	In execution of	Working Package 4.1

Context

As part of the WP 2.1, Charmo University with the help of European partners provided a workshop for the Kurdish partners on “Project Writing, International Marketing & the Use of Management Information Systems for Smart Administration of Internationalisation”

The workshop took place 04.-06.02.2019.

Results

Table 1 Please select your home institution:

Charmo University	1
Duhok Polytechnic University	3
Erbil Polytechnic University	3
Halabja University	2
Kurdistan Institution of Strategic Studies and Scientific Research (KISSR)	3
Salahaddin University – Erbil	3
Sulaimani Polytechnic University	2
University of Raparin	3
University of Sulaimani	1
MHESR	1
Total	22

In total, 22 individuals answered the survey and all except 2 answered all parts.

Table 2 How satisfied were you with the general organisation by Göttingen

	Very dissatisfied	somewhat dissatisfied	somewhat satisfied	very satisfied	Average	No. of answers
Organisation of the workshop as such	0	0	10	12	3.5	22
Information provided to prepare participation in the workshop	0	1	9	12	3.6	22
Timing & scheduling of the workshop	0	0	9	13	3.5	22
Overall	0	1	9	12	3.5	22

Overall, the respondents were well satisfied with the workshop general organisation by Göttingen (overall average 3.5) and no part is really outstandingly negative or positive.

For this part, the workshop achieved the goal of a rating of at least 3. Two comments in this section pointed out that the usefulness of the workshop: “thank you for providing this kind of workshops which are very beneficial”, “the workshop was successful and the teachers were quite qualified”.

Table 3 How satisfied were you with the general organisation by Charmo

	Very dissatisfied	somewhat dissatisfied	somewhat satisfied	very satisfied	Average	No. of answers
Organisation of the workshop as such	1	2	8	11	3.3	22
Information provided by the host in regard to the workshop (e.g. agenda, content, localities, etc.)	0	4	7	11	3.3	22
Support provided on-site by the host during the workshop	0	3	10	9	3.3	22
Overall	0.3	3.0	8.3	10.3	3.3	22

Overall, the respondents were quite satisfied with the general organisation by Charmo (3.3) and no part is really outstandingly negative or positive, however one person was very dissatisfied with the organisation and 2-4 were somewhat dissatisfied with various aspects.

This part achieved the goal of a rating of at least 3. One comment was “really glad for participating”

Table 4 How satisfied were you with the specific content of the workshop

	Very dissatisfied	somewhat dissatisfied	somewhat satisfied	very satisfied	Average	No. of answers
Project Writing – EU funding scheme overview (by Violeta Osouchova & Adam Hykl, Masaryk University)	0	0	5	17	3.8	22
Project Writing – Introduction to the topic (by Violeta Osouchova, Masaryk University)	0	1	4	17	3.7	22
Project Writing – Main technics and tools (by Violeta Osouchova, Masaryk University)	0	0	7	15	3.7	22
Project Writing – the view of the evaluators (by Violeta Osouchova, Masaryk University)	0	0	7	15	3.7	22
International Marketing – Introduction to the topic (by Violeta Osouchova, Masaryk University)	0	1	12	9	3.4	22
International Marketing – Social Media (by Jakub Motycka, Masaryk University)	0	3	13	5	3.1	21
International Marketing – Website (by Martin Jaros, Masaryk University)	0	3	9	9	3.3	21
Management Information System – Introduction to the topic (by Violeta Osouchova, Masaryk University)	0	2	7	13	3.5	22
MU Information System – Case study (by Adam Hykl, Masaryk University)	0	3	8	10	3.3	21
Q&A / Individual meetings (Martin Jaros & Adam Hykl, Masaryk University)	0	3	8	9	3.3	20
Project Management Meeting, Section I, Activities and Meetings of 2nd Project Year - Preview (Dr. Uwe Muuss & Jakob Hedderich, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen)	0	2	4	14	3.6	20
Project Management Meeting, Section II, Internal Auditing for Kurdish partners (Dr. Uwe Muuss & Jakob Hedderich, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen)	0	2	6	12	3.5	20
Project Management Meeting, Section III, Training on Supporting Documents for Financial Project Administration (Jakob Hedderich, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen)	0	3	5	12	3.5	20
Overall average	0.0	1.8	7.3	12.1	3.5	21.2

The individual parts of the workshop were rated high with an average of 3.5. Project writing got especially high ratings, while the lowest went to international marketing (still a 3.1 average). No activity was rated below 3.0 which is a very good result. The workshop content thus easily **achieved the benchmark of at least a rating of 3 in all parts.**

Comments were also positive or neutral: “Hope we can grow this type of workshop” and “I could attend only the first day of the workshop as it was my main concern and my job is grant application and proposal writing. I couldn't stay more because of my responsibilities as a mother. I could get the slides of the topics of the last two days.”

Comparison of the different aspects

The overall result is really good. The organisation from both Göttingen and Charmo appears to have been better than in the workshop in Raparin and the content was very satisfying. Overall, the workshop received a much better rating than the previous one.

Thus, the workshop achieved an overall average of 3.4 (3.5, 3.3 and 3.5) and easily passed the benchmark of 3.0.



The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.